# EXHIBIT E

# Case 4:07-cv-05944-JST Document 3102-6 Filed 11/14/14 Page 2 of 9

1	Jason C. Murray (CA Bar No. 169806) Robert B. McNary (CA Bar No. 253745)		
2	CROWELL & MORING LLP		
3	515 South Flower St., 40th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071		
4	Telephone: 213-443-5582 Facsimile: 213-622-2690		
5	Email: jmurray@crowell.com rmcnary@crowell.com		
6	Jerome A. Murphy (pro hac vice)		
7	Astor H.L. Heaven (pro hac vice) CROWELL & MORING LLP		
8	1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004		
9	Telephone: 202-624-2500 Facsimile: 202-628-5116 Email: jmurphy@crowell.com		
10	aheaven@crowell.com		
11	Counsel for Plaintiff ViewSonic Corporation		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
13			
14			
15	IN RE CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION  This Document Relates To:  ViewSonic Corporation v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., et al., Case No.	Master File No. 3:07-cv-05944-SC	
16		MDL No. 1917	
17		Individual Case No. 3:14-cv-02510	
18		PLAINTIFF VIEWSONIC	
19	3:14-cv-02510	CORPORATION'S SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO	
20		DEFENDANTS CHUNGHWA PICTURE TUBES, LTD. AND CHUNGHWA	
21		PICTURE TUBES (MALAYSIA) SDN. BHD.'S SECOND SET OF	
22		INTERROGATORIES	
23	PROPOLINDING PARTY: Defendants (	Shunghwa Picture Tubes Itd. and Chunghwa Picture	
24	PROPOUNDING PARTY: Defendants Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd. and Chunghwa Pictur  Tubes (Malaysia) Sdn. Phd		
25	Tubes (Malaysia) Sdn. Bhd.  RESPONDING PARTY: Plaintiff ViewSonic Corporation		
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>	SET NO.: TWO	Come Corporation	
28		Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff ViewSonic	
۷٥	r arsaumt to reales 20 and 55 of the	VIEWSONIC CORPORATION'S SUPPLEMENTAL	

CROWELL & MORING LLP Attorneys At Law

Corporation ("ViewSonic") hereby further responds to the Second Set of Interrogatories to Plaintiff ViewSonic ("Interrogatories") served by counsel for Defendants Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd. and Chunghwa Picture Tubes (Malaysia) Sdn. Bhd. ("Defendants") in the above-captioned matter. ViewSonic reserves the right to supplement the objections and responses set forth below.

### **GENERAL OBJECTIONS**

ViewSonic incorporates by reference its General Objections from its previous response to the Interrogatories.

### **RESPONSES TO INTERROGATORIES**

### **INTERROGATORY NO. 19:**

Identify and describe any ownership interest(s) held in JEAN by You, including the amount of stock and ownership percentage, as well as any documents reflecting such ownership interest(s), for each year from January 1, 1995 through the present.

### **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 19:**

ViewSonic refers to and incorporates its General Objections as though set forth fully herein. ViewSonic further objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is overbroad and unduly burdensome. ViewSonic further objects that this Interrogatory is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence because any ownership interests ViewSonic held in Jean are not relevant to the subject matter of this litigation. ViewSonic also objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information outside of the Relevant Period (as defined in ViewSonic's Complaint). ViewSonic further objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that the term "identify" and the phrase "documents reflecting" are vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. ViewSonic further objects to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege or work-product doctrine. ViewSonic further objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it seeks information that is in Defendants' possession, custody, or control, or equally available to the defendants.

### **SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 19:**

ViewSonic refers to and incorporates each of the General and Specific Objections identified in its initial Response to Interrogatory No. 19. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, after a reasonable search and investigation, ViewSonic states that the table below reflects ViewSonic International Corporation's stock ownership in Jean during the Relevant Period:

End of Year	Percentage Ownership	Number of Shares
End of Tear	1 creentage Ownership	Tumber of Shares
1995	0%	0
1996	0%	0
1997	0%	0
1998	0%	0
1999	8%	10,560,000
2000	4.9%	7,184,320
2001	1%	1,562,251
2002	0%	0
2003	0%	0
2004	0%	0
2005	0%	0
2006	0%	0
2007	0%	0

ViewSonic further states that ViewSonic International Corporation executed two stock purchase agreements for these shares in 1999. Discovery is ongoing and ViewSonic reserves the right to supplement and/or amend its response to this Interrogatory as appropriate

### **INTERROGATORY NO. 20:**

Identify and describe any ownership interest(s) held in You by JEAN, including the amount of stock and ownership percentage, as well as any documents reflecting such ownership interest(s), for each year from January 1, 1995 through the present.

& MORING LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

### **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 20:**

ViewSonic refers to and incorporates its General Objections as though set forth fully herein. ViewSonic further objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is overbroad and unduly burdensome. ViewSonic further objects that this Interrogatory is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence because any ownership interests Jean held in ViewSonic are not relevant to the subject matter of this litigation. ViewSonic also objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information outside of the Relevant Period (as defined in ViewSonic's Complaint). ViewSonic further objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that the term "identify" and the phrase "documents reflecting" are vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. ViewSonic further objects to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege or work-product doctrine. ViewSonic further objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it seeks information that is in Defendants' possession, custody, or control, or equally available to the defendants.

### **SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 20:**

ViewSonic refers to and incorporates each of the General and Specific Objections identified in its initial Response to Interrogatory No. 20. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, after a reasonable search and investigation, ViewSonic is unaware of any ownership interests that Jean held in ViewSonic during the Relevant Period. Discovery is ongoing and ViewSonic reserves the right to supplement and/or amend its response to this Interrogatory as appropriate.

### **INTERROGATORY NO. 21:**

Identify and describe any management or control rights You have held in JEAN, including any documents reflecting such management or control rights, at any point during the time from January 1, 1995 through the present.

### **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 21:**

ViewSonic refers to and incorporates its General Objections as though set forth fully herein. ViewSonic further objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is overbroad and unduly burdensome. ViewSonic further objects that this Interrogatory is not reasonably

1 calcondariant calcondariant

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

# calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence because any management or control rights that ViewSonic held in Jean are not relevant to the subject matter of this litigation. ViewSonic also objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information outside of the Relevant Period (as defined in ViewSonic's Complaint). ViewSonic further objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that the term "identify" and the phrase "documents reflecting" are vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. ViewSonic further objects to this Interrogatory to the extent that it is duplicative of other discovery propounded by other defendants in MDL No. 1917. ViewSonic further objects to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege or work-product doctrine. ViewSonic further objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it seeks information that is in Defendants' possession, custody, or control, or equally available to the defendants.

### **SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 21:**

ViewSonic refers to and incorporates each of the General and Specific Objections identified in its initial Response to Interrogatory No. 21. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, ViewSonic states it did not have management or control rights in Jean during the Relevant Period. Discovery is ongoing and ViewSonic reserves the right to supplement and/or amend its response to this Interrogatory as appropriate.

### **INTERROGATORY NO. 22:**

Identify and describe any investments of any kind made by You in JEAN, including any documents reflecting such investments, during the period from January 1, 1995 through the present.

### **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 22:**

ViewSonic refers to and incorporates its General Objections as though set forth fully herein. ViewSonic further objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is overbroad and unduly burdensome. ViewSonic further objects that this Interrogatory is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence because any investments ViewSonic made in Jean are not relevant to the subject matter of this litigation. ViewSonic also objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information outside of the Relevant Period (as

defined in ViewSonic's Complaint). ViewSonic further objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that the terms "identify" and "investments" and the phrase "documents reflecting" are vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. ViewSonic further objects to this Interrogatory to the extent that it is duplicative of other discovery propounded by other defendants in MDL No. 1917. ViewSonic further objects to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege or work-product doctrine. ViewSonic further objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it seeks information that is in Defendants' possession, custody, or control, or equally available to the defendants.

### **SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 22:**

ViewSonic refers to and incorporates each of the General and Specific Objections identified in its initial Response to Interrogatory No. 22. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, ViewSonic hereby refers to and incorporates its responses and objections to Interrogatory No. 19. Discovery is ongoing and ViewSonic reserves the right to supplement and/or amend its response to this Interrogatory as appropriate.

### **INTERROGATORY NO. 23:**

Identify and describe any contracts between You and JEAN, including any contracts for stock ownership, as well as any documents reflecting such contracts, during the period from January 1, 1995 through the present.

### **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 23:**

ViewSonic refers to and incorporates its General Objections as though set forth fully herein. ViewSonic further objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is overbroad and unduly burdensome. ViewSonic further objects that this Interrogatory is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence because each and every contract between ViewSonic and Jean is not relevant to the subject matter of this litigation. ViewSonic also objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information outside of the Relevant Period (as defined in ViewSonic's Complaint). ViewSonic further objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that the term "identify" and the phrase "documents reflecting" are vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. ViewSonic further objects to this Interrogatory to the extent that it is duplicative

of other discovery propounded by other defendants in MDL No. 1917. ViewSonic further objects to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege or work-product doctrine. ViewSonic further objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it seeks information that is in Defendants' possession, custody, or control, or equally available to the defendants.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, and pursuant to Rule 33(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, ViewSonic refers Defendants to the transactional data produced in this litigation as VIEW\_CRT00000001-VIEW\_CRT00000002 and the contracts produced as VIEW\_CRT00041343, VIEW\_CRT00041337, VIEW\_CRT00041328, VIEW\_CRT00041327, VIEW\_CRT00041325, VIEW\_CRT00041322, VIEW\_CRT00041317, VIEW\_CRT00041221, VIEW\_CRT00041214, VIEW\_CRT00041208, and VIEW\_CRT00041145. Discovery is ongoing and ViewSonic reserves the right to supplement and/or amend its response to this Interrogatory as appropriate.

### **SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 23:**

ViewSonic refers to and incorporates each of the General and Specific Objections identified in its initial Response to Interrogatory No. 23. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, ViewSonic hereby refers to and incorporates its responses and objections to Interrogatory No. 19. Discovery is ongoing and ViewSonic reserves the right to supplement and/or amend its response to this Interrogatory as appropriate.

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21 //

//

22 //

23 //

24 //

25 //

26 //

27

28

# Case 4:07-cv-05944-JST Document 3102-6 Filed 11/14/14 Page 9 of 9

1	DATED: November 4, 2014
2	By: /s/ Astor H.L. Heaven  Jason C. Murray (CA Bar No. 169806)  Robert B. McNerry (CA Bar No. 252745)
3	Robert B. McNary (CA Bar No. 253745) CROWELL & MORING LLP
4	515 South Flower St., 40th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071
5	Telephone: 213-443-5582 Facsimile: 213-622-2690
6	Email: jmurray@crowell.com rmcnary@crowell.com
7	Jerome A. Murphy (pro hac vice) Astor H.L. Heaven (pro hac vice)
8	CROWELL & MORING LLP
9	1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004
10	Telephone: 202-624-2500 Facsimile: 202-628-5116
11	Email: jmurphy@crowell.com aheaven@crowell.com
12	Counsel for Plaintiff ViewSonic Corporation
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
L	VIEWSONIC CORPORATION'S SUPPLEMENTAL

CROWELL & MORING LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW